Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	1001	10/750,504	ROOD, RICHARD K.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:		Status of Application:	allowed
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>M. Saito</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 August 2005		Time:	
<u> </u>	☐ Applica No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 5 and 6			
Prior art documents discussed: patent 6,671,924 (earlier patent to applicant)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	'AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a stail directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a stail did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The separate re	examiner will provide a we ecord of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
·			
		•	•
		•	
2			
Jennie Will			•
(Examıner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/750,504

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to M. Saito on 8/10/05 to inform her that there also needs to be a terminal disclaimer filed the application as the combination of claims 1 and 3, and 1 and 5 of patent 6,671,924 is fully encompassed by allowed claims 5 and 6, respectively, of the application. Ms. Saito faxed a terminal disclaimer, along with a power of attorney. to overcome the double patenting rejection and maintain the application in allowed status.